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Introduction
The Cayman Islands provides for a unique form of statutory trust known as a ‘STAR Trust’. ‘STAR’ is an acronym for the Special Trusts (Alternative Regime) Law, 1997, the legislation that introduced STAR Trusts to Cayman law (the ‘STAR Law’).

Trusts are used as a structuring mechanism in a range of legal contexts, including wealth and succession planning, pensions, philanthropy, investment funds and various other commercial settings.

However, the traditional law of trusts limits in significant respects, the extent to which trusts can be used to perform certain functions and allocates rights to information about, and enforcement of, trusts in ways that are not always suited to the commercial and other objectives of the person(s) establishing them. The STAR regime removes many of these limitations, thereby providing greater flexibility to tailor Cayman trusts to meet these desired objectives.

Particular features of STAR Trusts
A STAR Trust will usually be used where a trust with one or more of the following features is needed:

• a trust established for the furtherance of a non-charitable purpose (these can range from purposes that involve little or no public benefit, such as the holding/preservation of a specific family heirloom or antique, to purposes that are broadly philanthropic but may not qualify as purely ‘charitable’ under the traditional heads of charity, for example, because they involve an element of funding for a particular political purpose);

• a ‘mixed’ trust established both for the furtherance of non-charitable purposes and to benefit particular persons;

• non-charitable trusts, whether for persons or purposes or both, which are of unlimited duration (STAR Trusts are not subject to the perpetuity restrictions to which ordinary trusts are subject);

• trusts established for charitable purposes where the settlor wishes to enhance the prospects of efficient and timely enforcement by conferring information rights and legal standing to enforce on persons other than the Attorney General of the Cayman Islands;

• trusts which remove or restrict the rights of beneficiaries to enforce the trust or obtain information about the trust fund and its administration from the trustee, and instead vest such rights in an independent enforcer with standing to bring the trustees to account on behalf of the beneficiaries; or

• trusts which cannot subsequently be varied, whether by unanimity among the beneficiaries or under the statutory jurisdiction in the Cayman Trusts Law (as revised).

These are all results difficult or impossible to achieve under the traditional law of trusts and serve to underscore the versatility of the STAR Trust regime.

1 Now contained in Part VIII of the Trusts Law (as revised) of the Cayman Islands.
A STAR Trust must be created by an instrument in writing and must contain an express declaration that the STAR Law applies to it. It is important that a settlor must clearly intend to opt-in to the STAR regime. It should be emphasised, however, that the law relating to STAR Trusts is, subject to the specific variations introduced in the STAR Law, the same in other respects as the traditional law of trusts.

Role and rights of the enforcer

Unlike ordinary trusts, a STAR Trust is required to have one or more enforcers, who are the only persons who have standing to enforce a STAR Trust. Enforcers are appointed by the settlor when the trust is established or pursuant to the terms of the trust instrument or an order of the court. Enforcers can be corporate entities or individuals and one or more of the beneficiaries and/or the settlor and/or any protector of the trust may hold the office of enforcer.

The standing of an enforcer to enforce a STAR Trust may be reserved as a right or a duty. However, unless there is at least one beneficiary of full capacity who is an enforcer with the duty (as opposed to the duty) to enforce the trust, there must be at least one other enforcer who has a duty to enforce the trust and is fit and willing to do so. The court has jurisdiction to appoint an enforcer on the application of the trustee where there is no enforcer (or no enforcer that meets the necessary requirements as to capacity, willingness to enforce, etc.) and none has been appointed pursuant to the terms of the trust for a period of 30 days.

The STAR Law expressly stipulates that an enforcer is deemed to have a fiduciary duty to act responsibly with a view to the proper execution of the trust, but this is subject to evidence of a contrary intention in the trust instrument.

Subject to the terms on which they are appointed, enforcers enjoy the same rights and remedies as beneficiaries under ordinary trusts. They have standing to seek the direction of the Cayman court concerning the administration of the trust in appropriate cases, rights to information concerning the trust and its administration from the trustee and to take copies of trust documents. In addition, in the event of a breach of trust an enforcer has, on behalf of the trust, the same personal and proprietary remedies against the trustee and third parties as a beneficiary of an ordinary trust would have. Enforcers enjoy rights of indemnity out of the trust fund in the same way as trustees of an ordinary trust in discharging the performance of their duties.

Practical uses of STAR Trusts

The following are some examples of where STAR Trusts have proved particularly attractive to clients:

- where there is a need to ‘orphan’ or make ‘ownerless’ special purpose vehicles in a commercial context, for example, to hold assets off balance sheet or to create bankruptcy remote structures. There are advantages in being able to appoint private enforcers for such trusts as this reduces enforcement risks and helps to preserve the structure’s privacy, as the traditional alternative is a charitable trust which depends on the Attorney General for its enforcement;

- for orphaning private trust companies which act as trustees of family trusts. Such arrangements provide a greater degree of comfort for a settlor about the composition of the board of directors of that private trustee than would otherwise be the case if an unconnected trust company acts as trustee of such family trust;

- for the creation by settlors of dynastic family trusts for multiple future generations, since STAR Trusts are not subject to perpetuity restrictions;

Technical requirements

Express provision

A STAR Trust must be created by an instrument in writing and must contain an express declaration that the STAR Law applies to it. It is important that a settlor must clearly intend to opt-in to the STAR regime. It should be emphasised, however, that the law relating to STAR Trusts is, subject to the specific variations introduced in the STAR Law, the same in other respects as the traditional law of trusts.

Role and rights of the enforcer

Unlike ordinary trusts, a STAR Trust is required to have one or more enforcers, who are the only persons who have standing to enforce a STAR Trust. Enforcers are appointed by the settlor when the trust is established or pursuant to the terms of the trust instrument or an order of the court. Enforcers can be corporate entities or individuals and one or more of the beneficiaries and/or the settlor and/or any protector of the trust may hold the office of enforcer.

The standing of an enforcer to enforce a STAR Trust may be reserved as a right or a duty. However, unless there is at least one beneficiary of full capacity who is an enforcer with the duty (as opposed to the duty) to enforce the trust, there must be at least one other enforcer who has a duty to enforce the trust and is fit and willing to do so. The court has jurisdiction to appoint an enforcer on the application of the trustee where there is no enforcer (or no enforcer that meets the necessary requirements as to capacity, willingness to enforce, etc.) and none has been appointed pursuant to the terms of the trust for a period of 30 days.

The STAR Law expressly stipulates that an enforcer is deemed to have a fiduciary duty to act responsibly with a view to the proper execution of the trust, but this is subject to evidence of a contrary intention in the trust instrument.

Subject to the terms on which they are appointed, enforcers enjoy the same rights and remedies as beneficiaries under ordinary trusts. They have standing to seek the direction of the Cayman court concerning the administration of the trust in appropriate cases, rights to information concerning the trust and its administration from the trustee and to take copies of trust documents. In addition, in the event of a breach of trust an enforcer has, on behalf of the trust, the same personal and proprietary remedies against the trustee and third parties as a beneficiary of an ordinary trust would have. Enforcers enjoy rights of indemnity out of the trust fund in the same way as trustees of an ordinary trust in discharging the performance of their duties.

Trustee requirements

A STAR Trust, like any other trust, must have one or more trustees. But it is a general legal requirement for a STAR Trust that at least one trustee be a ‘trust corporation’ as the term is defined in the Cayman trusts law (as revised).2 The Cayman court has jurisdiction to authorise non-compliance with this requirement.

An individual or corporate trustee not qualifying as a ‘trust corporation’ can be a co-trustee of a STAR Trust.

Certainty and reform of purposes (‘Cy-près’)

The non-charitable purposes for which STAR Trusts are established must be consistent with public policy and not contrary to the law of the Cayman Islands, but subject to these parameters, a STAR Trust established for non-charitable purposes is not rendered void by uncertainty as to its object or mode of execution. The terms of the trust itself may confer on the trustee or any other person power to resolve such uncertainties, and failing that the Cayman court has jurisdiction to do so.

Where the execution of a STAR Trust in accordance with its terms becomes over time impossible or impractical, unlawful or contrary to public policy or otherwise obsolete, the terms of the trust may empower the trustee to reform it and failing that the Cayman court has jurisdiction to reform the trust and its purposes on application by the trustee.

Land in the Cayman Islands

No land or interest in land sited in the Cayman Islands can be subject, directly or indirectly, to a STAR Trust. However, a STAR Trust is permitted to hold an interest in a company, partnership or other entity which holds land in the Cayman Islands, or an interest in such land for the purposes of its business.

The following are some examples of where STAR Trusts have proved particularly attractive to clients:

- where there is a need to ‘orphan’ or make ‘ownerless’ special purpose vehicles in a commercial context, for example, to hold assets off balance sheet or to create bankruptcy remote structures. There are advantages in being able to appoint private enforcers for such trusts as this reduces enforcement risks and helps to preserve the structure’s privacy, as the traditional alternative is a charitable trust which depends on the Attorney General for its enforcement;

- for orphaning private trust companies which act as trustees of family trusts. Such arrangements provide a greater degree of comfort for a settlor about the composition of the board of directors of that private trustee than would otherwise be the case if an unconnected trust company acts as trustee of such family trust;

- for the creation by settlors of dynastic family trusts for multiple future generations, since STAR Trusts are not subject to perpetuity restrictions;

2 That is, a company incorporated in the Cayman Islands (or a company registered as a foreign company in the Cayman Islands) licensed to conduct trust business by the Cayman Islands Monetary Authority under the Banks and Trust Companies Law (as revised) (“BTCL”), a controlled subsidiary of such a licensed corporate trustee as defined in the BTCL, or a registered private trust company in the Cayman Islands.

Continued
• for holding operating companies on trust in such a way as to limit trustee involvement in the business of the operating company, or for investing in family companies where economic performance is likely to be poor or uncertain;
• in establishing trusts which, by vesting enforcement and information rights in an independent and trusted enforcer, will prevent beneficiaries prone to disagreement from consuming the trust fund assets in speculative legal claims and demands for information from the trustees; and
• for social benefit projects (both public and private) to enable certain community facilities or areas to be maintained, for the preservation of monuments, to provide financial support for example to an opera house and to fund particular political purposes.

Recognition outside of the Cayman Islands

Notwithstanding the concerns expressed when STAR Law was introduced in the Cayman Islands about whether STAR trusts would be recognised as valid trusts in other jurisdictions, there does not seem to be any reported case successfully challenging the validity of, or refusing to recognise, a STAR Trust as a valid trust.

A STAR Trust would generally fall within the definition of a ‘trust’ in The Hague Convention on the Law Applicable to Trusts and on their Recognition and should qualify as a trust, seeing as Cayman law would govern the question of its validity being the law chosen by the settlor. It follows that in countries that have adopted such Convention, it can be expected that a STAR Trust would generally be recognised as a trust.

Conclusion

As a result of the flexibility of the STAR regime, particularly in relation to trusts established for non-charitable purposes in a broad range of different contexts and trusts where enforcement/information rights are conferred in non-traditional ways, it is anticipated that the role of STAR Trusts in offshore transactions and arrangements will continue for many years to come.

3 Subject to public policy considerations in the country in question, or other mandatory rules.